

#### Example from offshore industry Use of ALARP evaluations for installation of SSIV

#### Gina Krog field development





# What is an SSIV (Sub Sea Isolation Valve)?





### Effect of SSIV



No SSIV

Leak stabilizes at 25kg/s after 12 hours

SSIV closing within 2 minutes Leak stopped after 20 minutes



#### Setting the scene – Riser leak scenario





#### Gina Krog – Where to install SSIV?





## Simplified ALARP methodology

RISK REDUCING MEASURE, 1 EVENT / IMPACT, DESCRIPTION	TITLE AND REFERENC	ES	
In case of any leak in the Sleipner pipeline about 120 min. The fire frequency is 2,66E-05, and t	ove splash zone, the duration until t the time to escalation to another ris	the leak rate is below 0,1 er will be in the order of	kg/s will exceed 6 to 8 minutes.
Impact category for potential event (if measure is not implemented)	8 A - Corresponding ( USD)	cost (see table) (MILL	88 970
RISK REDUCING MEASURE, DETAILS	· · · · ·		
Description of risk reducing measures:			
It is suggested to install a SSIV about 250m fr from escalating to other risers, as well as all r	om the ESDV. The SSIV will most medium leakages below the splash	likely stop fires from all I zone.	arge leakages
B - Cost estimate (MILL USD)			8,0
C - Payback period (default = 10 år):			30
NB: Choose one of the effects, frequency (prob of the measure	ability) reducing or impact reducing	g, depending on the mos	t important effect
FREQUENCY REDUCING	IMPACT REDUCIN	IG	
D1 - Reduction in frequency (%)	0,55 % D2 - Reduction in ir table):	npact (MILL USD - see	
ALTERNATI	V A: RISK BASED A	PPROACH	
f - Frequency of event if measure is not imple	mented (frequency per year)		1,86E-05
E Corresponding saved cost due to risk reduc	ction (mill USD)		0,271678753
COST/BENEFIT			
RESULT (this is an automatically generated r	esult based on input)		Reject
RESULT (PROPOSED CONCLUS	SION):		

- Background for proposal and corresponding accident cost
- Proposal for, and effect
  of risk reducing measure
- Proposed result from simplified ALARP calculation



### Sensitivity studies performed by Safetec

- Fire frequency for ignited riser events: 3,92E-05 (1/year)
- Extra equipment needed for SSIV is evaluated to have no effect on ignition frequency, SSIV will have a negligible effect on leak frequency.
- Leak duration is mainly reduced from >120min to:

Size category	Sleipner Sub Sea fiel pipeline pipeline	
Small	>120 min	47 min
Medium	13 min	4 min
Full rupture	1 min	30 sec

- Time to escalation is calculated, and escalation will occur within roughly **6** minutes in a jet-fire scenario, and roughly **8** minutes in a pool-fire scenario.
- Without SSIV, frequency of impaired Muster Area (or Evacuation) is 1,862E-05
- SSIV on Sleipner pipeline will reduce this frequency to 1,851E-05 (0,55%)
- SSIV on subsea import pipeline will reduce this frequency to 1,616E-05 (13,21%)



#### Results from simplified ALARP evaluation

#### SSIV Gas export to Sleipner (GK side)

Impact category for potential event (if measure is not implemented)	8	A - Corresponding cost (see table) (MILL USD)	88 970	
B - Cost estimate (MILL USD)			8,0	
D1 - Reduction in frequency (%)	0,55 %	D2 - Reduction in impact (MILL USD - see table):		
E Corresponding saved cost due to risk reduction (mill USD)		0,271678753		
RESULT (this is an automatically generated result based on input)		Reject measure	Л	

#### SSIV Sub Sea field flowline to GK

RESULT (this is an automatically generated result based on input)		Reject measure	Y
E Corresponding saved cost due to risk reduction (mill USD)		5 470162072	•
	see table):		
D1 - Reduction in frequency (%)	13,21 % D2 - Reduction in impact (MILL USD -		
B - Cost estimate (MILL USD)		8,0	
measure is not implemented)	(MILL USD)		
Impact category for potential event (if	8 A - Corresponding cost (see table)	88 970	



## As Low As <u>Reasonably</u> Practicable

Significant uncertainties:

- Quantifiable uncertainties:
  - Accident cost
  - Equipment cost
  - Accident frequency
  - Effect of risk reducing measure
  - Escalation probability

- Qualitative uncertainties:
  - Risk related to installation of SSIV
  - Cost of testing
  - Maintenance cost
  - Perceived risk



### As Low As Reasonably Practicable

What is corresponding accident cost?

# Stormy weather: BP's stock hits new low

#### By Paul Tharp

June 26, 2010 | 4:00am

Meanwhile, investors saw their holdings in BP shrink another 6 percent yesterday, as shares slid to \$27.02, or nearly 54 percent this year.

http://nypost.com/2010/06/26/stormy-weather-bps-stock-hits-new-low/



### As Low As Reasonably Practicable

What is the cost of equipment?

# Kostnad for tre livbåter fra 40 mill. til 1,5 mrd

Se det elleville regnestykket her.

#### OLJE OG ENERGI 09.10.2014 08:31 Av Glenn Stangeland

http://www.sysla.no/2014/10/09/oljeenergi/kostnad-for-tre-livbater-fra-40-mill-til-15-mrd/



### As Low As Reasonably Practicable

Validity of risk evaluation?

- Accident frequency
  - Frequency for loss of main safety function
- Effect of risk reducing measure
  - Measured for main safety function with largest reduction
- Probability for escalation



#### As Low As <u>Reasonably</u> Practicable

Qualitative uncertainties

- Risk related to installation of SSIV
  - Does all the risk parameters decrease?
- Cost of testing
- Maintenance cost
- Perceived risk





### Key learnings

- Risk analyses are nothing more than input to decisions
- No risk evaluations are better than your input data.
- No tools can outmanoeuvre the effect of common sense
- Remember to test your uncertainties



There's never been a better time for **GOOD ideas** 

Use of ALARP evaluations for installation of SSIV

Kjetil Skarestad Sr. Engineer Technical Safety Gina Krog Field Developmen Project

www.statoil.com



